



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/731,706	12/08/2000	Toshiaki Nakano	Q61797	9699	
75	7590 05/24/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
Washington, D			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2175	Ď.	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	4 9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/731,706	NAKANO, TOSHIAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
v	Sam Rimell	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit itimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appl	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		Shull
		Sam Rimell
		Deimon Cuncil

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2175

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Applicant argues that Rangan does not disclose the feature of providing sites data representing details of services respectively provided by information providing sites. Examiner finds that this feature has already been addressed twice in the final office action---once in the explanation associated with claim 1 and a second time in the remarks section. Examiner maintains that this feature is taught for the reasons set forth in the final office action. (2) Applicant argues that Rangan does not disclose a retreival server that retrieves data from information providing sites. This feature was fully addressed in the explanations associated with claim 1 in the final office action. The server, the sites from which data is retrieved and the actually retrieved data are all addressed in the explanation associated with claim 1 of the final office action.